



Defect-induced activation of symmetry forbidden infrared resonances in individual metallic nanorods

F. Neubrech, A. Garcia-Etxarri, D. Weber, J. Bochterle, H. Shen, Marc Lamy de La Chapelle, G.W. Bryant, J. Aizpurua, A. Pucci

► To cite this version:

F. Neubrech, A. Garcia-Etxarri, D. Weber, J. Bochterle, H. Shen, et al.. Defect-induced activation of symmetry forbidden infrared resonances in individual metallic nanorods. *Applied Physics Letters*, 2010, 96 (21), 3 p. 10.1063/1.3437093 . hal-00629292

HAL Id: hal-00629292

<https://hal.science/hal-00629292>

Submitted on 5 Oct 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Defect-induced activation of symmetry forbidden infrared resonances in individual metallic nanorods

F. Neubrech^{1,a)}, A. Garcia-Etxarri^{2,a)}, D. Weber¹, J. Bochterle¹, H. Shen³, M. Lamy de la Chapelle⁴, G. W. Bryant⁵, J. Aizpurua², and A. Pucci¹

¹ Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, University of Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

² Centro de Física de Materiales CSIC-UPV/EHU and Donostia International Physics Center
DIPC, 20018 Donostia, Spain

³ Institut Charles Delaunay, FRE CNRS 2848, Université de technology de Troyes, 10010
Troyes cedex, France

⁴ Laboratoire CSPBAT - FRE 3043, UFR SMBH, Université Paris 13, 93017 Bobigny,
France

⁵ National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg 20899 MD, USA

Abstract: We report on the observation of second order infrared plasmon resonances in lithographically prepared gold nanorods investigated by means of far-field microscopic infrared spectroscopy. In addition to the fundamental antenna-like mode, even and odd higher order resonances are observed under normal incidence of light. The activation of even-order modes under normal incidence is surprising since even orders are dipole-forbidden because of their centro-symmetric charge density oscillation. Performing atomic force microscopy and calculations with the boundary element method, we determine that excitation of even modes is enabled by symmetry breaking by structural deviations of the rods from an ideal, straight shape.

^{a)} Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail:
neubrech@kip.uni-heidelberg.de and aitzol_garcia@ehu.es.

PACS numbers: 78.67Qa (optical properties of nanorods), 78.67Un (optical properties of nanowires), 84.40Ba (Antennas), 61.46Df (structure of nanoparticles), 78.30Er (infrared spectroscopy of metals)

Antenna-like metal nanoparticles offer a large variety of applications in today's technologies, because their plasmonic properties and thus their optical excitations can be tuned over a broad frequency range. Of special interest is the huge near-field enhancement at resonance, because it enables extraordinarily sensitive detection of molecules.¹⁻⁷ The exact frequency of the plasmonic resonance depends not only on the kind of metal (described by the plasma wavelength λ_p), but also on length L , diameter D (or the spatial cross section) and overall shape of the particle.⁸⁻¹² Considering a cylindrical antenna with $L > D$ and with very good metallic conductivity, the relation between L and the fundamental optical resonance wavelength λ_{res} is well described by the linear relation¹¹

$$2L = n_1 + n_2 \lambda_{res} / \lambda_p. \quad (1)$$

The coefficients n_i depend on geometry and conductivity of the nanorod and on the dielectric background of the environment (with effective refractive index, e.g. Ref. 10). From the mid to far infrared (IR), for gold nanorods with μm lengths (and $L/D > 10$), n_1 becomes very small and $\lambda_{res} = 2\lambda_p n_2^{-1} \cdot L = b \cdot L$ which is a relation like that of an ideal antenna where $b = 2$. Besides the strong fundamental ($l = 1$) mode, such nanoantennas also exhibit multipolar ($l > 1$) resonances at λ_{res} / l .^{9,12-14} Only multipolar modes with an antisymmetric charge distribution (odd l) can be optically excited at normal incidence of light, because their total dipole moment does not vanish.^{13,15} In contrast to these bright modes, plasmonic excitations with a centro-symmetric charge distribution (even l)¹⁶ cannot be excited under such conditions and are known as dark modes. Dark modes become visible when some type of

symmetry-breaking is present. At oblique incidence, symmetry-breaking arises due to retardation effects and excitation of the dark modes becomes possible because of the phase difference of the incoming wave at the two nanorod ends. Indeed, such behavior was observed in nanorods^{15,14,17} and also in other metallic nanostructures¹⁸. In addition, dark modes are observed in spectroscopic studies of complex multimer structures.¹⁹⁻²² In this paper we report on the appearance of dipole-forbidden second-order modes ($l=2$) in the IR spectra of some single gold nanorods under normal incident radiation and we identify the origin of this effect.

As done in Ref. 4 and 12, homogeneous nanorod arrays were prepared by electron beam lithography (EBL) on zinc sulfide (ZnS) substrates. The distances between single nanorods were chosen to be 5 μm (30 μm) in both directions to suppress the near-field interaction. L varies from 0.5 to 2.5 μm . The width w and the height h are about 60 nm in 5 μm arrays; $h \approx 100$ nm in 30 μm arrays. By use of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) we determined the actual size and shape of the nanorods (for each rod in the 30 μm arrays and for a statistically relevant selection in the 5 μm arrays, respectively). Microscopic IR spectroscopy (with an aperture diameter of 8.3 μm and 16.7 μm , respectively) was performed using radiation both from a synchrotron light source (ANKA) and from a thermal light source (globar), measured with a mercury-cadmium detector (MCT).^{7,10,12} The reference measurements were taken on the bare substrate at least 30 μm away from nanorods. IR transmittance spectra were recorded by acquisition of at least 1000 scans in the spectral range from 700 to 7000 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 8 cm^{-1} .

The example spectra in Fig. 1 feature the fundamental plasmonic band for parallel polarization; the plasmonic signal for perpendicular polarization remains below the noise level. For various L , the optical resonance wavelength for $l=1$ follows Eq. 1 with $n_1 \approx 0$ and $\lambda_{res} = b(l=1) \cdot L \approx 4.6 \cdot L$ as can be seen in Fig. 2. In Fig. 1, not only does the fundamental resonance ($l = 1$) appear in the spectrum, but additional bands, probably higher order

excitations, also show up. Surprisingly, a plasmonic band at twice the optical resonance wavenumber of the fundamental mode is observed in the spectra of some nanorods (for example nanorod B in Fig. 1). If $\lambda_{res} = b(l=1) \cdot L$ is compared to the experimental relations between the other resonances and L , their assignment to higher order resonances ($l = 2,3$) becomes unambiguous, see Fig. 2 and inset with table.

Because both collection and condenser lens have a numerical aperture of 0.52, the incident IR radiation is not strictly incident along the normal direction and a small fraction of the light scattered away from the normal is detected. To identify clearly the effect of non-normal incidence of light on the appearance of the $l=2$ mode, we tilted the sample to various angles (30° , 45° , and 60° with an error of about $\pm 5^\circ$) between the substrate normal and the direction of the incident light in air, which corresponds to angles of 13° , 19° and 23° in ZnS according to Snell's law. As known from literature^{15,17,18}, dark modes appear for such configurations, because the incident electrical field is no longer constant along the length of the nanoantenna and phase shifts occur over the full nanorod length. For increasing incident angle, the coupling between the incident radiation and the dark modes becomes more efficient (up to a certain angle depending on the surrounding medium) while coupling to bright modes is less efficient.¹⁵ We observe similar behavior for the IR antennas as shown in Fig. 3 where a quantitative comparison of extinction cross sections is given. To verify further these experimental results, we performed calculations using the boundary element method (BEM)^{9,23} for different angles of incidence. By means of BEM we solve Maxwell's equations for the scattering of a cylindrical gold nanorod (with bulk dielectric properties²⁴) in an isotropic environment (with effective refractive index $n_{eff} = 1.71$ ¹²). The computational results qualitatively show the appearance of the second-order mode and the decrease in intensity of bright modes for increasing incident angle. Good agreement between the experiments and the calculations is achieved for the various angles of incidence (see Fig. 3). The second order

mode clearly does not appear at normal incidence as experimentally observed for many of the nanorods (e.g. rod A in Fig. 1).

What other effect explains the unexpected appearance of dark modes of some nanorods in normal incidence experiments? Any possible asymmetric beam profile of the synchrotron radiation, which might also couple light to dark modes, can be excluded, because measurements with a thermal light source show the same behavior. However, a deviation from the ideal shape of a perfectly smooth nanorod might break the symmetry of the collective charge oscillation. Therefore we performed AFM height scans of various nanorods along their long axis (see inset of Fig.1). Obviously, the nanorods differ in surface roughness: whereas nanorod A features a more or less smooth surface with steps of less than 10 nm, nanorod B exhibits several large steps with a height difference of up to 50 nm. Moreover, the largest step is away from the rod center so that the rod symmetry is clearly broken, which enables the appearance of the $l=2$ mode. The symmetry-breaking effect of defects is verified by BEM calculations using a simplified model of a defective cylindrical nanorod using a neck in the rod, offset from the rod center (see Fig. 4). The BEM calculations were performed as described before, but now for normal incidence of light. With this neck, the second-order mode indeed appears clearly in the spectral signature of the rod (see Fig. 4). According to the calculations, the signal strength of the $l = 3$ mode should be similar for nanorods A and B. However, above 3500 cm^{-1} , a broadband decrease of transmission is observed for nanorod B, which may originate from the multiple steps on the nanorod which are not accounted for in the model. Nevertheless, the calculations confirm that the experimental appearance of the second-order modes is induced by the presence of defects in the nanorod. The appearance of even-order modes under normal incidence can therefore be used as a fingerprint to detect the presence of inhomogeneities in micron-sized nanoobjects.

In conclusion, we observed dark modes in IR spectra of linear gold nanoantennas at normal incidence. The appearance of such dark modes could be clearly attributed to the presence of defects in the nanorod that break symmetry. This interpretation is proven conceptually by BEM calculations and corroborated in AFM studies. Such lithography defects may be induced by inhomogeneities in the substrate's surface (scratches, for instance) or imperfections arising from the preparation process for the nanorods. Therefore, the observation of even modes could be used as an indicator for the quality of nanorod arrays.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank P. Nordlander and acknowledge funding within the "Nanoantenna" collaborative European project (HEALTH-F5-2009-241818). We gratefully thank M. Süpfle, B. Gasharova, and Y.-L. Mathis for their support at ANKA. The authors from the University of Heidelberg, University of Troyes and University of Paris 13 acknowledge financial support by the DAAD and Partenariat Hubert Curien Procope Program, the Egide Organisation and the Conseil Régional de Champagne Ardenne. DW acknowledges financial support by the Heidelberg Graduate School of Fundamental Physics, FN acknowledges financial support by the FRONTIER project. JA and AGE acknowledge financial support from National project FIS2007-66711-C02-01 from the Spanish Ministry of Innovation and Science.

References

- ¹ S.M. Nie and S. R. Emory, *Science* 275, 1102 (1997).
- ² E. Fort and S. Gresillon, *J. of Phys. D* 41, 013001 (2008).
- ³ H. Wang, J. Kundu, and N. J. Halas, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 46, 9040 (2007).
- ⁴ J. Grand, M. Lamy de la Chapelle, J.-L. Bijeon, P.-M. Adam, A. Vial, and P. Royer, *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 033407 (2005).

- ⁵ L. Billot, M. Lamy de la Chapelle, A.-S. Grimault, A. Vial, D. Barchiesi, J.-L. Bijeon, P.-M. Adam, and P. Royer, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 422, 303 (2006)
- ⁶ D. Enders and A. Pucci, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 88, 184104 (2006).
- ⁷ F. Neubrech, A. Pucci, T. Cornelius, S. Karim, A. García-Etxarri, and J. Aizpurua, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101, 157403 (2008).
- ⁸ K.B. Crozier, A. Sundaramurthy, G.S. Kino, and C. F. Quate, *J. Appl. Phys.* 94, 4632 (2003).
- ⁹ J. Aizpurua, Garnett W. Bryant, Lee J. Richter, F. J. García de Abajo, Brian K. Kelley, and T. Mallouk, *Phys. Rev. B* 71, 235420 (2005).
- ¹⁰ F. Neubrech, T. Kolb, R. Lovrincic, G. Fahsold, A. Pucci, J. Aizpurua, T. W. Cornelius, M.E. Toimil-Molares, R. Neumann and S. Karim, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 89, 253104 (2006).
- ¹¹ L. Novotny, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 266802 (2007).
- ¹² F. Neubrech, D. Weber, R. Lovrincic, A. Pucci, M. Lopes, T. Toury, M. Lamy de La Chapelle, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 93, 163105 (2008).
- ¹³ J. R. Krenn, G. Schider, W. Rechberger, B. Lamprecht, A. Leitner, and F. R. Aussenegg, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 77, 21, 3379 (2000).
- ¹⁴ J. Dorfmueller, R. Vogelgesang, R. T. Weitz, C. Rockstuhl, C. Etrich, T. Pertsch, F. Lederer and K. Kern, *Nano Lett.* 9, 2372 (2009).
- ¹⁵ G. Schider, J. R. Krenn, A. Hohenau, H. Ditlbacher, A. Leitner, F. R. Aussenegg, W. L. Schaich, I. Puscasu, B. Monacelli, and G. Boreman, *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 155427 (2003).
- ¹⁶ A. Pucci, F. Neubrech, J. Aizpurua, T. Cornelius, and M. Lamy de la Chapelle, in *One-Dimensional Nanostructures*, edited by Z. Wang, Springer Book Series: Lecture Notes on Nanoscale Science and Technology, Vol. 3 (Springer, New York, 2008), pp. 175.
- ¹⁷ G. Laurent, N. Féridj, J. Aubard, and G. Lévi, J. R. Krenn, A. Hohenau, G. Schider, A. Leitner, and F. R. Aussenegg, *Phys. Rev. B*, 71, 045430 (2005).

- ¹⁸ F. Hao, E.M. Larsson, T.A. Ali, D.S. Sutherland, and P. Nordlander, Chem. Phys. Lett. 458, 262, (2008).
- ¹⁹ P. Nordlander, P. Coubre, E. Prodan, K. Li and M. Stockman, Nano Lett. 4, 899 (2004).
- ²⁰ F. Hao, P. Nordlander, Y. Sonnefraud, P.V. Dorp, S.A. Maier, ACS Nano 3, 643 (2009).
- ²¹ F. Hao, C. L. Nehl, J. H. Hafner, and P. Nordlander, Nano Lett., 7, 729 (2007).
- ²² H.Wang, D. Brandl, P.Nordlander, N. J. Halas, Acc. Chem. Res. 40, 53 (2007).
- ²³ F. J. García de Abajo and A. Howie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5180 (1998) and Phys. Rev. B 75, 115418 (2002).
- ²⁴ E. D. Palik, Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids (Academic, New York, 1985).

Figure captions




Fig. 1: Relative IR transmittance spectra of two similarly prepared nanorods (A, B) with $L \approx 1500$ nm, $w = 60$ nm and $h = 100$ nm. The resonance order l is indicated. The inset shows AFM height profiles along the rod's long axis. The main defect of nanorod B is indicated with an arrow.




Fig. 2: Relation between λ_{res} and length L for different orders l . The solid lines are linear fits of $\lambda_{\text{res}} = b(l)L/l$ to the experimental data with the fit parameter $b(l)$ for the l^{th} order. The inset-table compares $b(l)/b(l=1)$ to the ideal ratio $1/l$. The error bars indicate the experimental error in the resonance frequency and length as estimated from SEM images.




Fig. 3: Experimental extinction cross section (σ_{ext} , derived from transmittance as in Ref. 10 and 12, normalized to the geometric cross section σ_{geo}) of a nanorod with $w \approx h \approx 60$ nm, compared to BEM calculations for a cylindrical nanorod ($D = 68$ nm, $\pi D^2 = 4wh$) of the same length $L = 1500$ nm for various incident angles α as indicated.




Fig. 4: Simulated extinction spectra of an ideal (red line) and an inhomogeneous (black line) nanorod with $L = 1500$ nm and $D = 60$ nm. The detailed shape of the nanorod with defects is shown in the inset ($d = 30$ nm, $s = 100$ nm, the neck position is 155 nm away from the rod center).